

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/738,354	12/17/2003	Robert E. Peters	67,097-029; EH-10884 7571		
26096	7590 04/18/2005		EXAMINER		
-	GASKEY & OLDS, P	GARTENBERG, EHUD			
400 WEST MA SUITE 350	APLE ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			3746		
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u> </u>				
Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/738,35	4	PETERS ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Ehud Gar		3746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE   - External after - If the - If NO - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perestore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no eve n. a reply within the statu triod will apply and will tatute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days l expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	7 December 20	<u>003</u> .					
2a)□	'his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2003</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a) action action is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE or No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/17/2003</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/738,354 Page 2

Art Unit: 3746

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-9, drawn to a bearing compartment, classified in class 384, subclass 466.
  - Claims 10-15, drawn to an oil scavenge system for a gas turbine, classified in class 60, subclass 39.08.
  - III. Claims 16-18, drawn to a method of scavenging oil within a gas turbine, classified in class 60, subclass 772.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the scavenge system for the gas turbine does not require the bearing compartment for patentability. The subcombination has separate utility such as a bearing compartment in a diesel engine.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the bearing compartment as claimed can be used with a method of scavenging oil within a diesel engine.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method can be practiced with an apparatus that does not have the shield that is required for the patentability of the oil scavenge system of the gas turbine.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to David Wisz on 4/14/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Page 4

Art Unit: 3746

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

As a courtesy to Applicant, the Examiner brings to his attention that the drawings 8. filed in this case do not enable the making and use of the invention. In particular, it is believed that Figure 3 is not the cross section at the location of the 3-3 plane shown in Figure 2. Also, the description does not teach where in Figure 4 is shield 44, and if it is not there, it should be so stated together with an explanation why. The location, disposition, and structural relationships of duct 46 in Figures 2 and 3 is not clear at all. and even less clear is the structural relationship of partition 51 in said Figures 2 and 3.5 The orientation of Figure 6 is not clear, and at the very least one or more of the previous figures should indicate what cross-section it represents. Finally, proper cross-hatching is required on all drawings, conforming to the requirements of the MPEP. In his response, Applicant is required to correct these deficiencies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ehud Gartenberg whose telephone number is 571 272 4828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on 571 272 4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/738,354

Art Unit: 3746

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217/9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3746

04142005